# BEST COPY Available

Thew (10 Approved For Release 2004/01/15 : CIA-RDP64B00346R000100130059-1

beng, unclassified statement; tigon's military research actwittes has prompted questions. Congress as to whether such undosures might endanger United States security.

The questions were raised in a crosed hearing of the House Lefense appropriations subcominttee March 19. A partly: ensored transcript of the hearing was released today.

The transcript revealed that Representative George H. Mahon, chairman of the sub-f committee, had brought up; he security problem after Dr. Brown, Defense Desurtiment research director, had mished reading the opening intement in his testimony.

As in the past, the state-

logical programs, including keep the material secret. nuclear tests.

Government and private sources er caution. This move has met regularly release "information some resistance, especially from what we are thinking can in helpful to any rival or enemy of the house Subcommittee on some cases be useful," he said.

this myself. Although this state—the military program, and "how ment consists entirely of things much at the same time you which have either been released have to tell potential enemics officially before or are public about our program." He said knowledge, the gathering of it that the Russians undoubtedly together in one place and its had more information than what expression by a public official he revealed in his statement. "However, we are binding it thoritative."

"However, we are binding it together in a very nice, constitution."

Arms Report Raises to publication, many feets of such freedom of infortions as to publication, many feets of such freedom of inforthe exchange was followed by venient place for them to get
the said. He went on:
"My own judgment is that,
details of United States plans mation. The discussion revived because of the way we deterdetails of United States plans mation. The discussion revived because of the way we detertain that will shape the nation's a subject that has frequently future military posture. These caused concern in the capital and it is the right way, the way plans included new types of This time, however, it was a member of Congress who desired the possible security effects. In the past, members of chemical, biological and radiocongress have often sought formed public by giving out informed public by giving out information and officials formation that we, sometimes wish not so many people knew." a variety of advanced techno- of the Pentagon have tried to wish not so many people knew."

# Brown Urges 'Balance'

nuclear tests.

As soon as Dr. Brown finished reading the statement, Mr. press secretary, has described be of "specific value" to the Mahon, a Texas Democrat, said: "You refer, Dr. Brown, to this statement as being 'unclession of Government officials before of Government officials before the statement as being 'unclession of Government officials before of the weapon systems.

Security material.

Brown Orgon Described that his statement would probably not be of "specific value" to the particularly published testimony in "numbers" and other indications of the capabilities of the weapon systems.

"It does, however, give an idea of what our thinking is,"

Government Information.

"Mr. Chairman, I worry about the public, to gain support for

## Finds No 'Specific Value'

fied." It seems to me the statement may contain much matement metal.

"It does, however, give an
idea of what our thinking is,"
tration, the Pentagon has attempted to classify Congresthat, in the United States, the
sional presentations with greatmistake."

"Waying the other side know

"Having the other side know

the United States."

"How do you rationalize this In the House hearing, Dr. to explain that comment, but procedure?" he asked Dr. Brown Brown and that a "balance has the answer was not contained to be struck" between informing in the transcript. Mr. Mahon asked Dr. Brown

Dr. Brown said that his state-

ment had been carefully prepared to summarize subjects rather than to indicate priorities among research programs.

Representative William E. Minshall, Republican of Ohio, asked whether there was "some reason for putting this information in one spot for them?"
"No. sir," Dr. Brown repplied.

"I think this has just become a traditional thing to do. It has been done for the use of this committee and not for the Soviet Union."

# Industrial Growth Noted

He explained at another point that the statement had been reviewed by classification offi-cials of the Denfense Department.

In response to other questions. Dr. Brown said that Soviet scientists had not had a big enough industrial community upon which to rely for support. Now, they do have a large industrial capacity and in ten years may outproduce the United States in scientists and engineers, he said.

"When it comes to engineering and technology, we are not intrinsically able to do anything that the Soviets, or other countries, are unable to do,

The United litates' edge so far! has been in the management of ts technical resources, he said. but he asserted that the Unittost of that tolvantage.